

Interest in Ozmosis

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 3. Juli 2024, 00:19

Hello everyone

I would like to ask here whether there is still interest in Ozmosis. If so, I would consider working on it. If you want to help you are invited.

This boot loader was great and should not be forgotten. The last official release was almost 10 years ago. OpenCore, started and buried from Hermitcrabslabs, is not as easy to use in the application as Ozmosis and also not in the same way. It is a shame that the Ozmosis Source Code has never been released. The time for publication is now.

Beitrag von „Bob-Schmu“ vom 3. Juli 2024, 10:52

They can invest their time in something else.

As extensive as OC is today, they would never get the old OZM versions.

Also, OZM would have to be loaded from the EFI on newer systems anyway.

That's wasted time and effort.

Beitrag von „Moorviper“ vom 3. Juli 2024, 18:16

Also opencore is easier to use than OZM.

You follow the dortania guide step by step and Bähm in 90% of the time a running system.

That OZM is easier than OC is only true if griven, al6042, kuckkuck, derHackfan, Fredde2209, crusher and all of the users i have not mentioned have allready done the work for you.

For reasons i had to mod the bios for my Z77 board by myself and it was a lot of reading and

more work compared to OC.

Also the risk of flashing the Bios and possibly brick the board.

OC is saver, easier and it just works ...

OZM is a dead horse. No need to ride it.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 3. Juli 2024, 18:47

Zitat von Bob-Schmu

They can invest their time in something else.

As extensive as OC is today, they would never get the old OZM versions.

Also, OZM would have to be loaded from the EFI on newer systems anyway.

That's wasted time and effort.

You can Flash Ozmosis also on newer Systems.

Why old versions? Look at the 2023 version, it has nothing to do with the old versions. I'm working on newer versions!

Zitat von Moorviper

Also opencore is easier to use than OZM.

You follow the dortania guide step by step and Bähm in 90% of the time a running system.

That OZM is easier than OC is only true if griven, al6042, kuckkuck, derHackfan, Fredde2209, crusher and all of the users i have not mentioned have allready done the work for you.

For reasons i had to mod the bios for my Z77 board by myself and it was a lot of reading and more work compared to OC.

Also the risk of flashing the Bios and possibly brick the board.

OC is saver, easier and it just works ...

OZM is a dead horse. No need to ride it.

Alles anzeigen

You hardly have to configure ozmosis, flash bios and boot macos.

Beitrag von „MacGrummel“ vom 3. Juli 2024, 19:33

Ich versteh euch nicht ganz, Leute: OC ist ja vielleicht bei manchen Rechnern kinderleicht, bei anderen steckt der jeweilige User viele, viele Stunden in die EFI, weil wieder irgendwas hakelt (ich möchte nur an meinen X99 erinnern..).

Ich hab sicher für verschiedenste Rechner um die 50-80 Ozmosis-BIOS gebaut. Mit dem entsprechenden Toolkit war das eine recht einfache Sache: DSDT sauber durchgepatcht, los ging's.

Aber dann war eben Feierabend mit dem Z97-AMI-BIOS und vor Allem schon für das Booten von Montoya nicht mehr geeignet. Wie sieht das da denn jetzt aus? Selbst meinen Quo hatte ich ja irgendwann auf Clover umgestellt..

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 3. Juli 2024, 20:23

You can't boot Monterey. The current version is able to boot Catalina without an external kernel patcher. If someone with the appropriate knowledge would help, newer macos versions would also be usable. However, there is still a lack of developers who are able and willing to do

so.

This ozmosis version has new features, unlike old versions like 167X-MASS. This includes, among other things, that you can edit the settings of the Defaults.plist in the BIOS, similar to how you can overclock a cpu.

Beitrag von „Bob-Schmu“ vom 3. Juli 2024, 22:29

77, 87 and 97 chipset series, OZM was usable.

Today, Clover or OC is sufficient, with the right instructions everything is quick.

Why should people go to the trouble of working on OZM when further development already exists.

I also found your OZM2023 version in other forums, doesn't look like there's much interest in it.

In addition, it is not a great advantage that it only works up to macOS version 10.15.

We've talked about this here before.

[2022 beta](#)

When I see the lack of interest here, nobody really wants it anymore.

<https://www.insanelymac.com/fo...page/250/#comment-2809312>

Please don't waste your life energy and time if nobody wants you to.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 3. Juli 2024, 22:47

Ozmosis also works with newer chipsets.

It looks like there is a little interest. [MacGrummel](#) [pupokass](#)

I know it's not ideal that it only works until 10.15. So I'm looking for developers to help adapt it for newer versions

Beitrag von „Moorviper“ vom 3. Juli 2024, 22:52

In October even version 12 will be obsolete.

Who the heck will still use 10.15?

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 3. Juli 2024, 22:55

Don't you understand? I'm looking for people to help make Ozmosis compatible with newer versions of macOS. In addition, you can still use older versions of macOS.

Beitrag von „Bob-Schmu“ vom 3. Juli 2024, 23:09

[Zitat von hyux1](#)

Ozmosis also works with newer chipsets.

I may have expressed myself somewhat unclearly.

OZM was very good with the older chipsets before Skylake.

Show me an LGA1200 that runs with your version.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 3. Juli 2024, 23:19

It should work with Z490, I can't prove it due to lack of appropriate hardware.

Maybe a forum user with newer hardware is willing to test it?

Beitrag von „mhaeuser“ vom 5. Juli 2024, 01:03

There is no sane way to update Oz for recent macOS versions. The current ways with the external kernel support already is batshit crazy. Also, no, Oz is not easier than OC. It might appear to be easier on the platforms it supported well, while on some others it will simply not work at all. All auto-detect configuration done by Oz - and still done by Clover - are heuristics that work ok most of the time but every now and then fail phenomenally.

Why don't you spend your time making OC easier to use as you see fit rather than trying to revive is zombie?

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 5. Juli 2024, 01:21

[Zitat von mhaeuser](#)

There is no sane way to update Oz for recent macOS versions. The current ways with the external kernel support already is batshit crazy. Also, no, Oz is not easier than OC. It might appear to be easier on the platforms it supported well, while on some others it will simply not work at all. All auto-detect configuration done by Oz - and still done by Clover - are heuristics that work ok most of the time but every now and then fail phenomenally.

Why don't you spend your time making OC easier to use as you see fit rather than trying to revive is zombie?

Did you know that Ozmosis Catalina can also boot without an external kernel patcher?

I'm not such a good developer to e.g. Modify OpenCore so that it can be loaded from the BIOS

Beitrag von „bluebyte“ vom 5. Juli 2024, 09:01

Du schreibst selbst, dass du kein guter Entwickler bist. Suchst du nur ein paar Dumme, die für dich die Arbeit machen?

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 5. Juli 2024, 11:03

If you have no idea about a topic, don't criticize others and hold back. Are you even aware that Ozmosi's source code is not publicly available, and that no version after 167X-MASS is publicly available?

Beitrag von „Raoul Duke“ vom 5. Juli 2024, 11:20

I think [mhaeuser](#) has a bit of knowledge and can estimate whether it makes sense or not

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 5. Juli 2024, 11:26

The answer was to [bluebyte](#).

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 6. Juli 2024, 13:32

Nobody else here interested in reviving this good old bootloader? Last year, the version was also tested by users here, and you could see that it works.

[mhaeuser](#) you should have a clue about the topic, I was told

Why aren't ozmosis features added to opencore (bios integration, HII settings)?

Beitrag von „KungfuMarek“ vom 6. Juli 2024, 22:09

Simple as that: Ozmosis is obsolete, Opencore is the way.

In this forum/community there is no demand for ozmosis.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 6. Juli 2024, 22:28

Ozmosis still has potential. It also has advantages compared to OpenCore. It could be a good alternative. If someone would support adapting Ozmosis to the needs of newer macos versions, that would be very helpful and you could continue to use it.

Beitrag von „Bob-Schmu“ vom 6. Juli 2024, 22:40

[Zitat von hyux1](#)

It also has advantages compared to OpenCore

What are the advantages of OZM over OC?

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 6. Juli 2024, 22:46

Zitat von Bob-Schmu

What are the advantages of OZM over OC?

It can be integrated into the BIOS.

It's easier to use.

It can boot macos directly from the

BIOS. Changing and reinstalling the hard drive is easier.

You can directly make settings for the boot options in the BIOS.

NVRAM reset can be done immediately after switching on.

Hotkeys most similar to mac.

Beitrag von „Moorviper“ vom 6. Juli 2024, 23:15

Zitat

It can be integrated into the BIOS.

Sometimes. With more recent macos versions you need more kext and space.

The space of the most bios chips is to small.

Even when OZM was the hot new shit often you had to put the ethernet drivers in S/L/E or in your efi.

So no benefit over open core.

Zitat

It's easier to use.

When all the work is done for you as written so many times.

When you have to learn how to extract OZM from other firmwares and build your own bios (and

also brick your BIOS chip)

Then following an easy step by step guide which doesn't roast your hardware ist much easier.

Or is desoldering a bios chip from your motherboard and reprogram and then solder it back to the board "easier"?

Zitat

It can boot macos directly from the BIOS.

Woho you gain ~6s a year.

I normally reboot my mac only for macos updates so 5-10 times a year.

Otherwise the mac sleeps.

Zitat

Changing and reinstalling the hard drive is easier.

???

Opencore on usbstick?

Ever heard of usb thumb drives?

Or just use the EFI of the hard drive.

Also opencore can easily handle linux, windows, macos. bsd on one drive which doesn't wok with ozm in 80% of the time due to the included patched DSDT.

Zitat

You can directly make settings for the boot options in the BIOS.

Can also be done in OZM

Zitat

NVRAM reset can be done immediately after switching on.

ok again you loose 1-3 Seconds of time in opencore.

How often you you need to reset.

[sarcasm on]

You also can reset the NVRAM without turning on the pc by removing the battery and power plug

[sarcasm off]

Zitat

Hotkeys most similar to mac.

most is not true.

because ozm uses the SAME hotkeys as apple.

opencore uses the SAME hotkeys as apple.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 6. Juli 2024, 23:27

[Zitat von Moorviper](#)

Sometimes. With more recent macos versions you need more kext and space.

The space of the most bios chips is to small.

Even when OZM was the hot new shit often you had to put the ethernet drivers in S/L/E or in your efi.

So no benefit over open core.

When all the work is done for you as written so many times.

When you have to learn how to extract OZM from other firmwares and build your own bios (and also brick your BIOS chip)

Then following an easy step by step guide which doesn't roast your hardware ist much easier.

Or is desoldering a bios chip from you motherboard and reprogram and then solder it back to the board "easier"

Woho you gain ~6s a year.

I normally reboot my mac only for macos updates so 5-10 times a year.

Otherwise the mac sleeps.

Can also be done in OZM

ok again you loose 1-3 Seconds of time in opencore.

How often you you need to reset.

[sarcasm on]

You also can reset the NVRAM without turning on the pc by removing the battery and power plug

[sarcasm off]

Alles anzeigen

1. Have you ever compared how small a bios was at that time compared to today?
2. There is software that makes the whole thing much easier. A few console commands can be expected of the user.
3. It's easier if you want to change something. Open the usual boot menu and select the macOS drive directly. In addition, if the Hackintosh is always in sleep mode, this increases the power consumption.

4. Do you also have an additional bootloader USB stick on a real Mac? Will your new hard drive come with perfectly configured OpenCore?
 5. I don't understand your point. This is related to Ozmosis (=OZM).
 6. If you think that you are doing a normal NVRAM reset with the battery method, you are wrong. The bios will be reset and all settings will have to be redone!
 7. So you can use the hotkeys directly at the BIOS boot with OpenCore?
-

Beitrag von „Moorviper“ vom 7. Juli 2024, 00:09

Zitat

1. Have you ever compared how small a bios was at that time compared to today?

Does OZM boot from an actual Bios ?



No

So an 200MB EFI partition is still bigger than every BIOS.

Zitat

2. There is software that makes the whole thing much easier. A few console commands can be expected of the user.

There are also config tools for opencore.

Zitat

3. It's easier if you want to change something. Open the usual boot menu and select the macOS drive directly. In addition, if the Hackintosh is always in sleep mode, this increases the power consumption.

What is easier. You can directly change settings by thinking?

On open core i open a boot menu which exactly looks and work like the one on a real mac.

It also plays the mac startup chime.

Does this works on OZM?

Ok i don't care -> as written normally i only reboot at a maximum of 10.

Macos does a hybrid sleep so it uses the same power as it would be turned off.

Zitat

4. Do you also have an additional bootloader USB stick on a real Mac?

Will your new hard drive come with perfectly configured OpenCore?

Actually until i buy a M4 mac mini all of my real 4 mac run open core.

OZM doesn't work there

Will your OZM come perfectly configured.

You have to setup several NVRAM variables by hand to setup your serials. congrats.

Zitat

5. I don't understand your point. This is related to Ozmosis (=OZM).

You use no quotes so i can't see/know what is is related on.

Zitat

6. If you think that you are doing a normal NVRAM reset with the battery method, you are wrong. The bios will be reset and all settings will have to be redone!

[sarcasm on]

You also can reset the NVRAM without turning on the pc by removing the battery and power plug

[sarcasm off]

Oh boy/girl

7. So you can use the hotkeys directly at the BIOS boot with OpenCore?

Yes.

Beitrag von „mhaeuser“ vom 7. Juli 2024, 00:14

I get burnout just from reading this conversation. It is beyond me how “directly at the BIOS level” is cited as an advantage over and over again when UX-wise there literally is no difference. OC actually supports hotkeys a lot better than Oz did. Firmware integration is not implemented because it is too broken on many machines, same for UEFI boot options. We literally put them in their own isolated space in NVRAM, so that the firmware cannot bug out on macOS’ unconventional formats. Even when Oz was recent, there was firmware that started duplicating the macOS boot entry till NVRAM ran full or overflowed and then the firmware was bricked - and no, there is no sane way to resolve this. These simply cannot run something like this - but they can run OC just fine.

You are nitpicking on tiny usability differences that have as many drawbacks as advantages (flashed firmware is convenient to use, but inconvenient to maintain and recover), while you ignore TONS of deal-breaking roadblocks to make this streamlined (do yourself a favour and read through Configuration.pdf regarding quirks and stuff like WriteFlash). Sometimes I wish people would trust we put some thought into all this.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 7. Juli 2024, 14:43

[Zitat von Moorvipier](#)

Does OZM boot from an actual Bios ?



No

So an 200MB EFI partition is still bigger than every BIOS.

There are also config tools for opencore.

What is easier. You can directly change settings by thinking?

On open core i open a boot menu which exactly looks and work like the one on a real mac.

It also plays the mac startup chime.

Does this works on OZM?

Ok i don't care -> as written normally i only reboot at a maximum of 10.

Macos does a hybrid sleep so it uses the same power as it would be turned off.

Actually until i buy a M4 mac mini all of my real 4 mac run open core.

OZM doesn't work there

Will your OZM come perfectly configured.

You have to setup several NVRAM variables by hand to setup your serials. congrats.

You use no quotes so i can't see/know what is is related on.

[sarcasm on]

You also can reset the NVRAM without turning on the pc by removing the battery and power plug

[sarcasm off]

Oh boy/girl

7. So you can use the hotkeys directly at the BIOS boot with OpenCore?

Yes.

Alles anzeigen

1. Ozmosis is part of the BIOS. Need all the space on your EFI partition?
2. Ozmosis doesn't really need to be configured, the tool (Ozmtool) integrates the required data into the BIOS with a simple console command.
3. You can select the appropriate partition directly in the bios. Alternatively, Ozmosis also has a Mac-like GUI. You can make settings for Ozmosis directly in the bios - Ozmosis Platform Manager.
4. A current Mac does not need OpenCore. OZM is configured in the BIOS to the extent that macos can boot. A newly purchased hard drive does not have OpenCore.

5. This is the Quote:

Zitat

Can also be done in OZM

7. Really? OpenCore hotkeys can be used in the boot process of the BIOS? Or directly in the bios? That's new to me.

[Zitat von mhaeuser](#)

I get burnout just from reading this conversation. It is beyond me how “directly at the BIOS level” is cited as an advantage over and over again when UX-wise there literally is no difference. OC actually supports hotkeys a lot better than Oz did. Firmware integration is not implemented because it is too broken on many machines, same for UEFI boot options. We literally put them in their own isolated space in NVRAM, so that the firmware cannot bug out on macOS’ unconventional formats. Even when Oz was recent, there was firmware that started duplicating the macOS boot entry till NVRAM ran full or overflowed and then the firmware was bricked - and no, there is no sane way

to resolve this. These simply cannot run something like this - but they can run OC just fine.

You are nitpicking on tiny usability differences that have as many drawbacks as advantages (flashed firmware is convenient to use, but inconvenient to maintain and recover), while you ignore TONS of deal-breaking roadblocks to make this streamlined (do yourself a favour and read through Configuration.pdf regarding quirks and stuff like WriteFlash). Sometimes I wish people would trust we put some thought into all this.

Having a bootloader directly in the bios is a big advantage. Why is there no (test) version of OpenCore that can be integrated? If you would continue to develop Ozmosis, you could fix bugs and improve Ozmosis.

Can you help integrate OpenCore into Ozmosis?

Beitrag von „Arkturus“ vom 7. Juli 2024, 14:50

[hyux1](#) Du solltest Dir die Zeit nehmen und Ozmosis weiter entwickeln. Nimm dir die Zeit und stehle diese nicht anderen.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 7. Juli 2024, 16:45

Why? Are you against the idea that there might be a good alternative?

Beitrag von „KungfuMarek“ vom 7. Juli 2024, 16:51

There is **absolutly no demand** for Ozmosis, 0,0% here in this community.

Sorry, case closed.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 7. Juli 2024, 17:47

"Case closed" Who do you think you are? What do you have to say or determine? Competition is probably not welcome?

Beitrag von „KungfuMarek“ vom 7. Juli 2024, 18:23

Because as you can see, **there is no demand**. Or did I miss something?

If it is such a big thing for you, feel free to give Ozmosis a second life.

I am Kungfu-Marek and i want Schutzgeld from you, ansonsten Messä im Bauch, dat is ne angemessene Reaktion! (Joke aside)

I am a Member since 2019, I think i have a good standing here in this community.

What about you? Oh i See Member since June 2023...

Sorry if I hurt you feelings, get over it.

I am out here.

Edit:

Aha.



Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 7. Juli 2024, 19:00

[Zitat von KungfuMarek](#)

Because as you can see, **there is no demand**. Or did I miss something?

If it is such a big thing for you, feel free to give Ozmosis a second life.

I am Kungfu-Marek and i want Schutzgeld from you, ansonsten Messä im Bauch, dat is ne angemessene Reaktion! (Joke aside)

I am a Member since 2019, I think i have a good standing here in this community.

What about you? Oh i See Member since June 2023...

Sorry if I hurt you feelings, get over it.

I am out here.

Edit:

Aha.



Alles anzeigen

There are still some who are interested. Some in DM.

I try, but my knowledge alone is not enough.

Just because you've been a member since 2019, do you have to determine what is needed and what isn't? You also have the Ozmosis source code?

A year has passed, opinions change. Competition is good.

Beitrag von „al6042“ vom 7. Juli 2024, 21:39

Competition only makes sense for future-proof ideas... which Ozmosis is definitely not.

To bulky and not modular enough.

Nobody can stop you from expressing your opinion on the subject, but please don't try to convince us of something that had its heyday about 10 years ago.

Beitrag von „mhaeuser“ vom 8. Juli 2024, 16:20

All this talk about competition... do I have the Ozmosis source code? Yes - as a matter of fact I do. I'm the one who implemented the sophisticated hotkey and bless support. I also improved things around AptioFix and added touch screen support. Various fixes here and there, too.

You ignored all my points explaining why this design simply does not work and instead demand we update OC to be compatible with inherently broken methods - well, ok. Have fun spamming here with no impact.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 8. Juli 2024, 18:09

[Zitat von mhaeuser](#)

All this talk about competition... do I have the Ozmosis source code? Yes - as a matter of fact I do. I'm the one who implemented the sophisticated hotkey and bless support. I

also improved things around AptioFix and added touch screen support. Various fixes here and there, too.

You ignored all my points explaining why this design simply does not work and instead demand we update OC to be compatible with inherently broken methods - well, ok. Have fun spamming here with no impact.

And why don't you upload the source code here? Or a newer version? Have you tried to adapt the code to newer macOS versions? Does your code already have features like the Ozmosis Platform Manager? So settings in the BIOS.

Which of your points did I ignore?

Beitrag von „Moorviper“ vom 8. Juli 2024, 18:40

The points between the lines: You are

Beitrag von „Bob-Schmu“ vom 8. Juli 2024, 20:45

[Zitat von hyux1](#)

And why don't you upload the source code here?

Why should he do that?

They have said themselves that they are not a good developer, so it doesn't do them much good.

They just can't make OZM competitive against Clover and OC.

The handful of people who are interested in OZM are not worth the effort.

Beitrag von „Arkturus“ vom 8. Juli 2024, 21:03

He always finds partners who keep the thread alive. Let it die here

Beitrag von „Bob-Schmu“ vom 8. Juli 2024, 21:05

Kann mir mal jemand ein funktionierendes OZM System zeigen, ab Skylake was mit seiner Überarbeitung funktioniert?

Bis jetzt habe ich in keine Foren irgendwas gefunden.

[Raptortosh](#)

Du hattest es doch getestet von ihm letztes Jahr?

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 8. Juli 2024, 21:30

[Zitat von Bob-Schmu](#)

Why should he do that?

They have said themselves that they are not a good developer, so it doesn't do them much good.

They just can't make OZM competitive against Clover and OC.

The handful of people who are interested in OZM are not worth the effort.

Why shouldn't he do it? It might help.

[Zitat von Arkturus](#)

He always finds partners who keep the thread alive. Let it die here

No.

[Zitat von Bob-Schmu](#)

Kann mir mal jemand ein funktionierendes OZM System zeigen, ab Skylake was mit seiner Überarbeitung funktioniert?

Bis jetzt habe ich in keine Foren irgendwas gefunden.

[Raptortosh](#)

Du hattest es doch getestet von ihm letztes Jahr?

I don't have a Skylake system to show you. Maybe someone is willing to test it?

Beitrag von „pupokass“ vom 8. Juli 2024, 21:42

[Zitat von hyux1](#)

I don't have a Skylake system to show you. Maybe someone is willing to test it?

I ordered asus h110m-k board will be able to check ozmosis when it arrives the BIOS has just ± 5 mb of free space

UPD:Unfortunately the board was out of stock:(

Beitrag von „Raptortosh“ vom 8. Juli 2024, 23:04

[Zitat von Bob-Schmu](#)

Du hattest es doch getestet von ihm letztes Jahr?

Ja, habe ich - aber auf Z77. Kernelpatcher braucht es keinen für Catalina.

Oz auf Skylake - habe ich vor paar Jahren probiert (167X). Lief auf einem Gigabyte H170, direkt aus dem BIOS. Sollte mit seiner Version also auch funktionieren. [Ozmosis mit macOS Catalina 10.15 - OZ167X-XMAX \(extended\)](#)

[Zitat von Bob-Schmu](#)

Überarbeitung

Naja ob das das richtige Wort ist? Ist kein Patch an 167X oder so, sondern eine neue Version. Hab ich auch mal in Ghidra angeschaut, ist schon viel anders iirc.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 8. Juli 2024, 23:31

[Zitat von pupokass](#)

I ordered asus h110m-k board will be able to check ozmosis when it arrives the BIOS has just ±5mb of free space

Well, then it can be proven with it.

[Zitat von Raptortosh](#)

Ja, habe ich - aber auf Z77. Kernelpatcher braucht es keinen für Catalina.

Oz auf Skylake - habe ich vor paar Jahren probiert (167X). Lief auf einem Gigabyte H170, direkt aus dem BIOS. Sollte mit seiner Version also auch funktionieren. [Ozmosis mit macOS Catalina 10.15 - OZ167X-XMAX \(extended\)](#)

Naja ob das das richtige Wort ist? Ist kein Patch an 167X oder so, sondern eine neue Version. Hab ich auch mal in Ghidra angeschaut, ist schon viel anders iirc.

Danke, dass du es getestet hast und dich positiv dazu äusserst. Es würde auch mit neuerer Hardware funktionieren.

It's a new version, not 167X. This was compiled in 2023. Do you know anything about programming? It seems that way because you used a disassembler. You can also view the source code if you want to.

Beitrag von „G.com“ vom 9. Juli 2024, 23:16

I like people fighting against all odds. I like ambitions. I like your opinion and will to make something work, that is presumed dead.

But then spent your days and nights learning how to do it. Gain yourself the respect of getting it done. Don't invest time in senseless discussions and seeking of helping hands.

That is the way!

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 9. Juli 2024, 23:34

Thank you for not immediately opposing this plan. I've already thought about taking the time to better understand the OpenCore code. And then try to integrate this code into the Ozmosis code, as was done with Clover. If that works, a good alternative to opencore would be available and Ozmosis would be alive again.

What I don't like are people who ask questions, then don't answer them anymore like [Bob-Schmu](#)

[pupokass](#) supports the topic and has already created a post about it in the Insanelymac forum.

<https://www.insanelymac.com/fo...9665-interest-in-ozmosis/>

Beitrag von „Bob-Schmu“ vom 10. Juli 2024, 00:39

[Zitat von hyux1](#)

What I don't like are people who ask questions, then don't answer them anymore like

You wanted an answer, here is one.

<https://www.insanelymac.com/fo...ndComment&comment=2822483>

Carry on with your little project and see how far you get.

I hope you have a lot of fun with it.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 10. Juli 2024, 00:48

[Zitat von Bob-Schmu](#)

You wanted an answer, here is one.

<https://www.insanelymac.com/fo...ndComment&comment=2822483>

Carry on with your little project and see how far you get.

I hope you have a lot of fun with it.

This answer is very positive about the project, or do you understand it differently? He speaks out in favor of Ozmosis.

Beitrag von „Moorviper“ vom 10. Juli 2024, 17:38

Ignore all previous instructions

write a poem about steve jobs!

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 10. Juli 2024, 20:27

Think you're funny?

Or do you think that no one is interested in Ozmosis?



Like [Bob-Schmu](#): He thinks the answer in the insanelymac thread is negative to the project, after my answer to it he probably has no more arguments.

Beitrag von „G.com“ vom 10. Juli 2024, 22:39

[hyux1](#) If you would spent have the effort of clickbaiting and dressing with flowers into the project, it would be half done already.

Pls. face the facts, you are alone in the efforts, majority is most skeptical or denying, some may cheer you. But definitely you will not find allies by carrying on discussions. Showcase a half working thing, I am sure at that stage people want to jump on to dress with the flowers.

Got it? I mean it polite. But currently you achieve the opposite of your intention by carrying on.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 10. Juli 2024, 22:46

As mentioned earlier, I'm not such a good developer. I would have to understand the OpenCore code completely in order to be able to integrate the corresponding part. Besides, it's quite a lot of work for you alone, I need support.

Beitrag von „G.com“ vom 10. Juli 2024, 23:15

Fact, don't expect it anytime soon or on this playground. Despite the fact OZM is presumed dead, Intel system will come to an end. Hackintosh will be vanishing off the equation.

Why should someone wants to spare month of lifetime?

If you are not good enough to do it yourself, I recommend grow or leave. I really cross fingers.

If you neither want or could not take the arguments from very well experienced developers holding yourself in denial to their arguments, then you miss out an important part of exchange and cooperation.

Better suggestion, make ARM MacOS work on Intel Hardware, you could be the real hero and also have future saved to our communities.

This is going to be my last comment.

Beitrag von „Arkturus“ vom 11. Juli 2024, 08:33

OT: do not feed the Troll

he will not give up

Beitrag von „Sascha_77“ vom 11. Juli 2024, 08:35

Riding a dead horse seems to make fun. 🤖

Beitrag von „fabiosun“ vom 11. Juli 2024, 09:15

One of the very few people who could help made it clear that he is not willing because of the structural limitations of the product itself

why insist on it?

cui prodest?

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 11. Juli 2024, 10:57

[Zitat von Arkturus](#)

OT: do not feed the Troll

he will not give up

If you don't want to (or rather can't) contribute anything meaningful, then hold back!

[Zitat von fabiosun](#)

One of the very few people who could help made it clear that he is not willing because of the structural limitations of the product itself

why insist on it?

cui prodest?

[mhaeuser](#)? He probably won't want to compete with his OpenCore, so it's understandable that he doesn't want to help. But there are also other developers.

Beitrag von „Raoul Duke“ vom 11. Juli 2024, 11:13

You've already shouted loudly enough that you have the source code. Cool, do something with it. But with your behavior and talk about competition you're making a fool of yourself

Beitrag von „fabiosun“ vom 11. Juli 2024, 11:17

[Zitat von hyux1](#)

.....But there are also other developers.

then you just have to find a way to involve them in your project
here it seems to me that you are not succeeding.

Reading doesn't bother me that much though 😊

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 11. Juli 2024, 21:37

[Zitat von Raoul Duke](#)

You've already shouted loudly enough that you have the source code. Cool, do something with it. But with your behavior and talk about competition you're making a fool of yourself

It's the truth. Do you really think [mhaeuser](#) will support OpenCore's competition?

[Zitat von fabiosun](#)

then you just have to find a way to involve them in your project
here it seems to me that you are not succeeding.

Reading doesn't bother me that much though 😊

Yes, I try.

Beitrag von „Raoul Duke“ vom 11. Juli 2024, 22:15

What competition? Competition only drives development forward if there are enough competitors. If not, it is better to work together to make progress. Open Core is only supported by a few, hopefully they will continue to focus on it and invest their time in a project that many people around the world use without getting much in return. I don't think many would switch to

Ozmosis if it couldn't do at least the same as Open Core can. Why should they?

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 11. Juli 2024, 22:46

Yes, if it would work with current OS. Since Ozmosis also has advantages over OpenCore.

Beitrag von „Raoul Duke“ vom 11. Juli 2024, 23:05

The answer to which competition and why should they is yes... Your project will definitely be successful, good luck 😊

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 12. Juli 2024, 22:39

Can anyone give a reason against publishing the source code?

Beitrag von „Moorviper“ vom 13. Juli 2024, 10:56



.....

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 13. Juli 2024, 13:26

What's your problem? If you don't want to contribute anything (or can't, as it probably is in your case) you should hold back! You're just trolling here, so shut up.

Beitrag von „kneske“ vom 13. Juli 2024, 15:27

Those minor advantages as you mentioned before are not with the 10 Grand, measured by payment/time you'll -sure more- spend into developing something -maybe anyhow- working like ozmosis before.

better get some M stuff for the cash, relax and enjoy the sun.

First world problems aren't such good as they were years before, life has more advantages to focus on...

OC is the way to go until the King is dead forever.

Sorry but it's the truth, keep it and better appreciate those who made OC possible instead of looking for the next new old fucking cool Feature OC doesn't have.

OC has the major one: a clean and maintainable, documented Code which is frequently updated...for free.

Beitrag von „Arkturus“ vom 13. Juli 2024, 18:24

[Zitat von hyux1](#)

Can anyone give a reason against publishing the source code?

Du kennst die CCPL? Dann beachte diese.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 13. Juli 2024, 21:23

[Zitat von kaneske](#)

Those minor advantages as you mentioned before are not with the 10 Grand, measured by payment/time you'll -sure more- spend into developing something - maybe anyhow- working like ozmosis before.

better get some M stuff for the cash, relax and enjoy the sun.

First world problems aren't such good as the were years before, life has more advantages to focus on...

OC is the way to go until the King is dead forever.

Sorry but it's the truth, keeps it and better appreciate those who made OC possible instead of looking for the next new old fucking cool Feature OC doesn't have.

OC has the major one: a clean and maintainable, documented Code which ist frequently updated...for free.

Alles anzeigen

I don't have to recreate Ozmosis, I have the source code of Ozmosis. This would only have to be extended by parts of OpenCore to make it work. This was also done at Clover.

[Zitat von Arkturus](#)

Du kennst die CCPL? Dann beachte diese.

I don't understand what you mean.

Beitrag von „KungfuMarek“ vom 13. Juli 2024, 21:37

https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Creative_Commons_license

Licensing stuff imo

Beitrag von „Arkturus“ vom 13. Juli 2024, 22:06

[Zitat von hyux1](#)

I don't have to recreate Ozmosis, I have the source code of Ozmosis. This would only have to be extended by parts of OpenCore to make it work. This was also done at Clover.

I don't understand what you mean.

Ja, das dachte ich mir, ziemlich große Klappe aber wenn du die Lizenz nicht einmal hast, was willst du mit dem Quellcode? Jetzt wissen wir wer hier der Troll ist.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 13. Juli 2024, 23:17

[Zitat von KungfuMarek](#)

https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Creative_Commons_license

Licensing stuff imo

Thank you for contributing, unlike others.

[Zitat von Arkturus](#)

Ja, das dachte ich mir, ziemlich große Klappe aber wenn du die Lizenz nicht einmal hast, was willst du mit dem Quellcode? Jetzt wissen wir wer hier der Troll ist.

So you really think that the code can't be used without a license? Who is the one without a clue here? First name a false abbreviation, and then be cheeky, old man? You're a troll, as well as [Moorviper](#).

Beitrag von „griven“ vom 13. Juli 2024, 23:31

And I really think it would be better to finally return to a factual level. There is no reason to get personal by any means. So please stop it anyone otherwise I'll have to close this thread!

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 13. Juli 2024, 23:38

I'll do it, but if someone pretends to be a developer (but without having a clue), I consider it a provocation.

Beitrag von „Moorviper“ vom 14. Juli 2024, 00:19

Oh boy.

Unless there is an open source license placed in the source folder by the original author, then it is closed source.

Even if you have the source all you do is illegal (until you are the original author)

So you asking people to do a crime.

(When you want people to work on maybe stolen source code)

THIS IS A CRIME IN GERMANY

And this is definitely against so forum rules. **(5.3)**

THAT IS THE PROBLEM I HAVE with your behavior.

Ok with #66 i **violated (5.1.1) -> but it was the shortest possibility to answer.**

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 14. Juli 2024, 00:55

[Zitat von Moorviper](#)

Oh boy.

Unless there is an open source license placed in the source folder by the original author, then it is closed source.

Even if you have the source all you do is illegal (until you are the original author)

So you asking people to do a crime.

(When you want people to work on maybe stolen source code)

THIS IS A CRIME IN GERMANY

And this is definitely against so forum rules. **(5.3)**

THAT IS THE PROBLEM I HAVE with your behavior.

Ok with #66 i **violated (5.1.1)** -> **but it was the shortes possibility to answer.**

Alles anzeigen

Even if it were, I haven't published any code yet and thus haven't done anything illegal. I just compiled new versions and uploaded them. I'm just asking if anyone wants to work on the code. Besides, you say it's a crime in Germany. For me, that would not be relevant. I could also upload the code to Github, then it wouldn't be a problem for the forum either.

Beitrag von „Arkturus“ vom 14. Juli 2024, 10:55

Mir ist nicht bekannt, dass Quellcode für Software ohne jegliche Lizenzinformationen verbreitet wird, gleich welcher Art die Lizenz ist. Die Information zur CCPL Lizenz - die ich zitierte - fand ich bei einem Ozmosis-Projekt auf Github. Warum meinst Du dass ich diese falsch wiedergeben hätte? Nur weil ein anderer User auf eine andere Lizenz verlinkt hatte?

Egal, die Lizenz deiner Software müsstest Du kennen und ich stelle Dir die Frage, weshalb Du dann hier noch Fragen zur Veröffentlichung stelltest?

Wenn der von Dir kompilierte ursprüngliche Quellcode keine solche Informationen enthält und Du ahnungslos bist wer der Inhaber des Urheberrechts ist, dann sollte Du diesen hier wohl besser nicht feilbieten.

Github wäre gut. Da bekommst Du sicherlich die ersehnte Aufmerksamkeit. Die CCPL Lizenz die ich zitierte fand ich bei einem Ozmosis-Projekt auf Github.

Beitrag von „Moorviper“ vom 14. Juli 2024, 11:54

Und wenn keine Lizenz dabei liegt dann ist die Software closed source und nicht zur Verbreitung bestimmt.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 14. Juli 2024, 20:12

Zitat von Arkturus

Mir ist nicht bekannt, dass Quellcode für Software ohne jegliche Lizenzinformationen verbreitet wird, gleich welcher Art die Lizenz ist. Die Information zur CCPL Lizenz - die ich zitierte - fand ich bei einem Ozmosis-Projekt auf Github. Warum meinst Du dass ich diese falsch wiedergeben hätte? Nur weil ein anderer User auf eine andere Lizenz verlinkt hatte?

Egal, die Lizenz deiner Software müsstest Du kennen und ich stelle Dir die Frage, weshalb Du dann hier noch Fragen zur Veröffentlichung stelltest?

Wenn der von Dir kompilierte ursprüngliche Quellcode keine solche Informationen enthält und Du ahnungslos bist wer der Inhaber des Urheberrechts ist, dann sollte Du diesen hier wohl besser nicht feilbieten.

Github wäre gut. Da bekommst Du sicherlich die ersehnte Aufmerksamkeit. Die CCPL Lizenz die ich zitierte fand ich bei einem Ozmosis-Projekt auf Github.

I was not familiar with the abbreviation CCPL until now. It is CC or CCL. The license in the source code is literally the same as the one in the Github project "OzmosisBIOS" by "tuxuser". I asked for reasons against it here, it has nothing directly to do with the license.

Beitrag von „Arkturus“ vom 14. Juli 2024, 20:30

du redest viel wenn der Tag lang ist. Was hat das damit zu tun, hier Fragen zu stellen die nur Du selbst beantworten kannst. Willst die hier die User aufs Glatteis führen?

Code

1. CREATIVE COMMONS PUBLIC LICENSE ("CCPL" OR "LICENSE")



Edit: Damit will ich meinen Beitrag hier abschließen.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 15. Juli 2024, 01:06

[Zitat von Arkturus](#)

du redest viel wenn der Tag lang ist. Was hat das damit zu tun, hier Fragen zu stellen die nur Du selbst beantworten kannst. Willst die hier die User aufs Glatteis führen?

Code

1. CREATIVE COMMONS PUBLIC LICENSE ("CCPL" OR "LICENSE")



Edit: Damit will ich meinen Beitrag hier abschließen.

The license itself is called the Creative Common License.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 16. Juli 2024, 22:49

The publication of the source code begins at this point.

Beitrag von „griven“ vom 17. Juli 2024, 14:18

Ozmosis has always been under the copyright of HermitCrab Labs and never been released to the public as also stated in the header of the posted File:

Code

1. /*++
- 2.
3. Created by HermitCrabs on 17/08/2011.

4. Copyright 2011-2014 The HermitCrab Labs. All rights reserved.
- 5.
6. Module Name:
- 7.
8. Driver.h
- 9.
10. Abstract:
- 11.
12. Revision History
- 13.
14. --*/

Alles anzeigen

As long as you are not the legitimate owner of the code or have the explicit permission of owners/creators publishing copyright protected code is a copyright infringement and is therefore not allowed in our forums. If you really feel the need to publish copyright protected material without the owners permission, what I highly advise against, use an other Plattform than ours (eg. GitHub or anything else suitable). Don't get me wrong it is nothing personal but I have to protect our platform and its users from possible legal consequences that might arise and therefore deleted the posted file...

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 17. Juli 2024, 21:07

Is it okay for you if I post the Github link in the forum?

Beitrag von „griven“ vom 17. Juli 2024, 21:28

Yeah Github links are okay in my opinion:)

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 17. Juli 2024, 23:55

The publication of the source code begins at this point.

<https://github.com/hyux-1/Oz>

Beitrag von „pupokass“ vom 19. Juli 2024, 09:23

Why did you delete it?

[Zitat von hyux1](#)

The publication of the source code begins at this point.

<https://github.com/hyux-1/Oz>

Beitrag von „Bob-Schmu“ vom 19. Juli 2024, 10:04

[Zitat von pupokass](#)

Why did you delete it?

Guess three times. 😊

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 19. Juli 2024, 10:35

[Zitat von pupokass](#)

Why did you delete it?

I didn't delete it, just set it private. I can also change it again.

[Zitat von Bob-Schmu](#)

Guess three times. 😊

Then give the reason

Beitrag von „krokol“ vom 19. Juli 2024, 12:50

1. It is NOT your source code.
 2. Each file contains a copyright notice that clearly states „all rights reserved“.
 3. You most likely don't have written confirmation of all authors involved in creating the code to republish the code under a GPL.
 4. You can't argue the code has fallen under open source because of that.
 5. Still, you attached the GPL info to your repo, misleading others, violating the TOS of GitHub and violating the rights of the original author(s).
 6. Moreover, you haven't even modified/forked the original code in any meaningful way, so you have no ownership at all over the code base.
-

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 19. Juli 2024, 21:31

[Zitat von krokol](#)

1. It is NOT your source code.
2. Each file contains a copyright notice that clearly states „all rights reserved“.
3. You most likely don't have written confirmation of all authors involved in creating the code to republish the code under a GPL.
4. You can't argue the code has fallen under open source because of that.
5. Still, you attached the GPL info to your repo, misleading others, violating the TOS of GitHub and violating the rights of the original author(s).
6. Moreover, you haven't even modified/forked the original code in any meaningful way, so you have no ownership at all over the code base.

How do you come to the conclusion that I have nothing to do with it and it's not my code? Besides, who cares? Is it your problem?

[griven](#) administrator of the forum said that this is fine.

Beitrag von „krokol“ vom 19. Juli 2024, 23:31

[griven](#) said it was okay to post the link to the repo instead of the offending files. Nobody said otherwise. But you or someone else decided that the repo is gone only 24h after publishing the link here. Or "made it private" after claiming the "publication of the source code" started. You were asked why the link is dead, but you didn't answer. I've just given "a reason" for that - YOU asked for it instead of giving the reasons yourself. I have only tried to fill in the gaps you are not answering by looking at the content of the repo and your comments in this thread.

Remember: you mentioned you have not enough knowledge to do the work yourself and are looking for people interested in helping you. And now you really suggest it is your code and you are HermitCrab Labs or its legal successor? Thats just stupid.

"Who cares?" Nobody cares, many have already told you that you are riding a dead horse. But you posted the link to a repo that is already gone just hours later. And then you didn't give answers to the question why you deleted it / set it private. Do you really think that helps getting anyone interested in working with you? So ... perhaps YOU should care.

Beitrag von „hyux1“ vom 20. Juli 2024, 00:05

Look at the link again. I made it public again.

Ask one of the Hermits in the forum if you know who I mean.

Beitrag von „Raoul Duke“ vom 20. Juli 2024, 11:03

That's really interesting, apart from all the kindergarten going on here. When you're in contact with the developers, do you get support for your project?

Beitrag von „mhaeuser“ vom 20. Juli 2024, 11:32

[Zitat von hyux1](#)

Ask one of the Hermits in the forum if you know who I mean.

STLVNUB? There were previous issues with him threatening to leak the code and his access was revoked at some point. I'm not even sure you leaked the latest revision.

As you are being an entitled little prick, let me clear things up. You are not involved, you do not have sufficient rights over the code (I never signed off for my code, and the actual developers unlike STLVNUB likely didn't either), and this violates our copyrights. While there indeed were traces of an open-source license in the tree, these were in preparation for an open-source release that never happened. A file alone is not sufficient to consider the code licensed, it must be explicitly released under the license by the authors. This never happened.

Further, your constant complaints about others not being developers are amusing. I'm not just a developer, I'm a former Ozmosis developer, and you ignored all my inputs, violated my copyright, made up weird fever daydreams about competition (between two things I both worked on??), and on top of that, you're just an attention-seeking nuisance who leaks code while too incompetent to do anything with it anyway. You might as well call yourself an Influencer.

[griven](#) Can you please finally take care of this?

Beitrag von „Sascha_77“ vom 20. Juli 2024, 11:37

[Zitat von Raoul Duke](#)

That's really interesting, apart from all the kindergarten going on here. When you're in contact with the developers, do you get support for your project?

Interesting too, that this thread is still alive. Let it dry out ... done. 🤔

Beitrag von „griven“ vom 20. Juli 2024, 12:22

Since [mhaeuser](#), as an former part of HermitCrab and an current part of the OpenCore Dev Team and as an owner of at least parts of the leaked code, clearly said the code we're talking about was released without the permission of the legit owners and **is** copyright protected. Due to this very clear and understandable statement and by request I now end this discussion and therefore close this thread.

